Letitia E. Pepper, Esq.

1718 HICKS STREET OCEANSIDE, CA 92054 telephone (951) 743-3387

STATE BAR NO. 105277
ASSISTANCE TO THE BAR:
APPEALS, LAW & MOTION,
APPEARANCES, MOOT
COURTS,
CONSULTING

ADMITTED TO STATE COURTS OF CALIFORNIA, CENTRAL & SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURTS OF CALIFORNIA, NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

To: John Karl Scholz, President of the University of Oregon; and to

The Board of Trustees of the University of Oregon: Steve Holwerda, Board Chair; Trustee Marcia Aaron, Vice Chair; Trustee Tim Boyle; Trustee Renee Evans Jackman;

Trustee Toya Fick; Trustee Elisa deCastro Hornecker; Trustee Ed Madison, Faculty;

Trustee Lillian Moses, Non-Faculty Staff; Trustee Karl Scholz, Ex Officio;

Trustee Connie Seeley; Trustee Amy Tykeson; Trustee Andy Storment;

Trustee Jenny Ulum; Trustee Ruby Wool; Student; Trustee Dennis Worden

From: Letitia E. Pepper, Esq.

Re: The University of Oregon's Unlawful Mandatory Vaccination Policy

Date: September 11, 2023

Concerned parents of potential and existing students at the University of Oregon have asked me to advise you that the University of Oregon's policy, of mandating that all students have had certain vaccinations in order to attend the University, violates potential and existing students' rights under the U. S. Constitution and Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Nuremberg Code. The bottom line is that each person must be free to <u>freely choose</u> whether or not to participate in any particular kind of form of medical treatment. Any form of outside pressure to do or not do anything "medical" is clearly violative of Article 7.

As Trustees on the Governing Board of the University of Oregon, each of you have fiduciary duties to the University to make wise decisions to protect its continued existence. The University, too, has a fiduciary duty to protect the young adults entrusted to its care by their families. That means that you must make sure that the University is compliant with all controlling laws, especially those related to the health and safety of its students.

In doing so, you must not be lead astray by propaganda, censorship or popular opinion, but must do your own independent investigation. When you do so, you will discover, as have I, that special financial interests have promoted allopathic medicine over homeopathic medicine, and the germ theory over the terrain theory. Allopathy uses drugs, and sometimes surgery to treat ailments, while homeopathy works on improving the body's response to the disease. The terrain theory contends that a healthy body is capable of fighting off foreign invaders such as viruses, while the germ theory contends that those foreign invaders will conquer without outside interventions.

The influence of special interests can be seen in the overwhelming amount of advertisements for allopathic interventions in the form of drugs and injections, not to mention the pressure from various authorities for non-governmental entities, such as colleges and public schools, to "mandate" vaccinations. And a "mandate" is obviously a not-very subtle form of pressure: do as we say or we will not give you something you want.

So, faced with conflicting theories and such pressure from the purveyors of drugs and vaccinations, what should an institution such as the University of Oregon do? The answer is simple: obey the controlling law, which allows anyone and any entity to avoiding actions that may make them vulnerable to financial harm.

That law is the U. S. Constitution. Congress ratified a treaty, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights ("the ICCPR"), in 1992. Article 7 of the ICCPR is the Nuremberg Code, which very simply says that everyone must freely consent to participate in any human medical experiment. When Congress ratifies a treaty, it becomes "the supreme law of the land," meaning that it automatically applies to everyone with no further codification. (U. S. Const., art. VI, cl. 2, in relevant part, provides: "This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.")

This is what makes it unlawful for <u>anyone</u> to pressure <u>anyone</u> to be involved in a human medical experiment. A medical experiment does not require test tubes and labs. All medical treatments are <u>always</u> medical experiments for each person, because <u>all</u> vaccines, old or new, as well as all medicines and treatments, not just the experimental mRNA vaccines, or other experimental treatments, including masks, are always a human medical experiment for each person, as expressed by the formula, "N = 1," meaning an experiment with just one subject.

Thus, these recent one-size-for-all "mandates" that order everyone to be vaccinated, to wear masks regardless of whether they are coughing or sneezing, are clear violations of the ICCPR and the Nuremberg Code. They are also violations of the individual rights reserved to all Americans by the individuals who created the Constitution, in which they provided that the individual states and the central, federal government, were given limited, enumerated rights, and provided that all other not specified rights, whether enumerated or not, were reserved to us, the individual human beings who are their descendants.

The Constitution did not give the states or the federal government the right to make health or medical decisions for individuals, and the ICCPR makes that even more clear.

Please do the right thing. Revise the University's healthcare system to allow each person, student or employee, to make their own medical decisions, without any mandates imposed by the University.

Thank you for your attention to this serious issue.